

More reasons why my convictions were unsafe

Saturday, 07 May 2016

When we managed to prove in court that the photo the false accuser claimed I had given to him had not been taken until over three years later, it was not the difference in his age that I felt was significant, although there is a great difference between a 12 year old and a 16 year old, but I considered this not only proved he had been lying (or was mistaken as the prosecution said) but - since I had never met him and his hand drawn map of the interior of my house, like all the others, featured a door through a solid brick party wall into an imaginary extra room - how had he acquired the photo?

Since police had seized several copies of the photo when searching my house, I felt it was obvious they had provided it to the witness. Lawyers would not say or even imply that. 16 years ago police behaviour was respected and believed.